

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/890,019	BLUM ET AL.	
	Examiner Michael J. Feely	Art Unit 1712	

All Participants:

(1) Michael J. Feely.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(2) Anne Sabourin (Reg. No. 33,772).

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: _____

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- Telephonic
- Video Conference
- Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

n/a

Claims discussed:

1,11,17,21-25

Prior art documents discussed:

n/a


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:

Examiner proposed changing the claim language of "a proportion" to -a weight proportion-, citing support in the examples on pages 42-44 of the Specification. The proposed changes were intended to improve clarity of the claimed invention. Ms. Sabourin agreed to the proposed changes.